I. TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART FOURTEEN OF SIXTEEN

Dean Demands Chief Ring Leader Judge Laura Taylor Swain, of the Southern Circus of New York (S.D.N.Y.), to Release to Epstein Files.

Page

I. TABLE OF CONTENTS……. 1

II. THE FEDERAL "CASH FOR KIDS" JUDGES CONTINUED……..... 2

1. Judge Laura Taylor Swain, *Dean et al. v. Carro et al.,* S.D.N.Y…….……..... 2
2. Judge Laura Taylor Swain, *Dean et al. vs. U.S. A. INC. et al,* S.D.N.Y…….. 3
3. Judge Mark C Scarsi, *Moose et al. v. Manhattan Supreme Court et al.*, C.D.CA…… 6
4. Judge Rodney H. Holmes, *Mertens v. Biden*, E.D.MO……..... 10
5. Judge Clifton L. Corker, *Brabson v. Biden et al.*, E.D.TN…….. 14
6. Judge John M. Bodenhausen, *Marr v. Russell,* E.D.MO……..... 16

II. THE FEDERAL CASH FOR KIDS JUDGES CONTINUED

1. **Judge Laura Taylor Swain**

Case: Case No.1:24-CV-10075, Southern District of New York, *Dean et al. v. Carro et al.*: https://www.pacermonitor.com/public/case/56426038/Dean_et_al_v_Carro_et_al

Case Text: Defendant Swain stands accused by default of committing fraud upon the court due to the Southern District of New York's (SDNY) deliberate failure to assign a judge to this case—a blatant disregard for judicial procedure and an affront to WE *the*

PEOPLE in an apparent effort to evade accountability. This misconduct is directly tied to a murder-for-hire scheme, known as U.S.A. INC. 's subsidiary, the EPSTEIN ENTERPRISE, which targeted a wealthy New York family known as the Mangione(s). Their son is unlawfully detained in federal custody, deprived of his constitutional right to civilian due process, and subjected to egregious violations. These actions take place amid an ongoing healthcare genocide and human trafficking operation, orchestrated under the leadership of the late CEO Brian Thompson. Furthermore, Defendant Alvin Bragg is accused of weaponizing his position as District Attorney to advance a political agenda, specifically targeting Mangione in this case. By weaponizing legal proceedings for political gain, Bragg manipulated the justice system to silence and discredit Mangione (Infamous Whistleblower), further perpetuating the systemic injustices and violations tied to the enterprise. Swain and Bragg's abuse of power has caused irreparable harm to those he has sought to silence and control through genocide and tyranny.

2. **Judge Laura Taylor Swain**

Case: Dean et al. vs. U.S. A. INC. et al.: Case No. 1:25-cv-04604; Southern District of New York https://www.pacermonitor.com/public/case/58362053/Dean_v_USA_Inc

Case Text: Judge Laura Taylor Swain has become emblematic of the complete corporate

capture of the federal judiciary. In a case that struck at the very heart of institutional trafficking and sovereign rights, Swain brazenly ignored the factual evidence, denied motions for emergency relief to release the sealed Epstein Files, and protected corporate-government partnerships complicit in the unlawful commodification of children and families. The emergency motion to release the sealed files related to Jeffery Epstein, aimed at dismantling the fictitious "U.S.A. INC." and exposing D-U-N-S-registered entities masquerading as constitutional governments, was dismissed with a condescending swipe—Swain accused the Plaintiffs of paranoia, and continues to phish for private information through the IFP process, while ignoring the very real financial paper trails that connect court systems to trafficking revenues through Title IV-E reimbursements, bond securitization, and AI-enhanced surveillance. Rather than protect the Constitution and the children whose names fill the sealed dockets of federal courts, Judge Swain instead chose to shield the interests of JPMorgan Chase, the Supreme Court's private D-U-N-S entities, and their partners in digital governance infrastructure. Her ruling sought not to evaluate justice, but to invalidate it—and in doing so, she declared herself a gatekeeper of the Beast system, where natural-born rights are rewritten by corporate algorithms and sold off as stock. For these atrocities, Judge Swain stands as a symbol of betrayal—another black-robed executor in a tribunal of silence, complicit in genocide and treason. Her courtroom no longer represents a lawful venue, but a warfront of psychological and financial warfare against WE *the* PEOPLE.

PacerMonitor ☰ Sign In

Dean v. U.S.A. Inc.

New York Southern District Court

Judge:	Laura Taylor Swain
Case #:	1:25-cv-04604
Nature of Suit	440 Civil Rights - Other Civil Rights
Cause	42:1983 Civil Rights Act
Case Filed:	May 28, 2025

Docket | Parties (2)

Docket last updated: 06/27/2025 11:59 PM EDT

Monday, June 16, 2025

misc | Mailing Receipt | Mon 06/16 8:52 AM

MAILING RECEIPT: Document No: 5. Mailed to: Alexandra M. Dean 4573 Jonathan St. Hastings, FL 32145. (kma)

Friday, June 13, 2025

5 2 pgs | order

Order Directing Payment of Fee or IFP Application | Fri 06/13 7:37 AM

ORDER DIRECTING PAYMENT OF FEE OR IFP APPLICATION: Plaintiff is directed to render payment of the filing fee or submit an IFP application to this Court's Pro Se Office within thirty (30) days of the date of this Order. The Clerk of Court is directed to assign this matter to my docket. The Court certifies, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3), that any appeal from this Order would not be taken in good faith, and therefore in forma pauperis status is denied for the purpose of an appeal. See Coppedge v. United States , 369 U.S. 438, 444-45 (1962). Filing Fee due by 7/14/2025. In Forma Pauperis (IFP) Application due by 7/14/2025. (Signed by Judge Laura Taylor Swain on 6/13/2025) (asg)

Att: 1 Amended IFP application

Wednesday, June 11, 2025

4 motion | Compel | Wed 06/11 1:42 PM

EMERGENCY MOTION to COMPEL the RELEASE of JUDICIALLY SEALED RECORDS RELATED to JEFFREY EPSTEIN ("EPSTEIN FILES"). Document filed by Alexandra M. Dean. (jca)

Dean et al.

Living Souls

Case No. 1:25-cv-04604

Request for trial by Grand Jury and 28 U.S.C. § 2241 for violations of 18 U.S.C. § 1962 and 18 U.S.C. § 242.

Judge Laura Taylor Swain

vs.

U.S.A. INC. et al. "U.S.A. INC." D-U-N-S #s: 161906193 and 096226982 / SUPREME COURT UNITED STATES" D-U-N-S #s: 161906136 and 111477469"

Defendant(s) - *Detriments to Society.*

EMERGENCY MOTION *to* COMPEL *the* RELEASE *of* JUDICIALLY SEALED RECORDS RELATED *to* JEFFREY EPSTEIN ("EPSTEIN FILES")

COMES NOW, WE *the* PEOPLE of the UNITED STATES *of* AMERICA urgently request this Court to unseal, disclose, or otherwise order the release of the judicial records, investigative materials, and sealed files related to Jeffrey Epstein, his associates, and the criminal matters previously adjudicated or administratively resolved under docket numbers including but not

Pg. 1 of 51

Respectfully Submitted,

WE the PEOPLE, Dean et al.

msha.ke/phantomoverreach





"But if anyone causes one of these little ones who believe in me to stumble, it would be better for them to have a large millstone hung around their neck and to be drowned in the depths of the sea." - Matthew 18:6

3. **Judge Mark C Scarsi**

Case: Case No. 2:25-cv-00981, Central District of California, *Moose et al. v. Manhattan Supreme Court et al.*:

https://www.pacermonitor.com/public/case/56995081/Steven_Moose_v_Manhattan_Supreme_Court_et_al

Case Text: This case was initiated on behalf of infamous whistleblower and political prisoner Luigi Mangione by dozens of parents and survivors whose families were torn apart by U.S.A. Inc.'s "Cash for Kids" enterprise—a coordinated scheme in which children are systematically abducted and trafficked through corrupted state and federal court systems. Filed as *Moose et al. v. Manhattan Supreme Court et al.*, this civil RICO and constitutional action brought forward grave claims: the unlawful abduction of

children by judicial imposters operating under the color of law, and the weaponization of the judiciary to commit crimes against the American people. The plaintiffs, representing over a dozen families, sought the return of their kidnapped children and demanded the immediate release of Luigi Mangione, who they allege was imprisoned as retaliation for exposing the criminal infrastructure behind U.S.A. Inc.'s, " Artificial Intelligence Surveillance & Exploitation Enterprise" trafficking networks. Mangione seemingly risked his life and freedom to protect others—an act the plaintiffs view not as criminal, but as heroic. The people maintain that whatever allegations have been levied against Mangione must be weighed against the countless lives he saved by using his consequential reasoning and standing up against the AI exploitation tyrannical machinery perpetuating a strategic genocide. In a highly controversial decision, Judge Mark C. Scarsi summarily dismissed the case—not based on its merits, but on procedural technicalities designed to obstruct justice and silence the victims. The Court denied the plaintiffs' *in forma pauperis* (IFP) request, claiming they had failed to provide sufficient financial data on the court-issued forms. In reality, the plaintiffs intentionally withheld sensitive information—such as Social Security numbers, banking details, employment, addresses, and vehicle registrations—out of fear that such data would be used to further target them and their children through AI surveillance and trafficking algorithms. Instead of acknowledging this valid and constitutionally protected concern, Judge Scarsi punished the plaintiffs for refusing to hand over personal information to the very institutions responsible for trafficking their children. In doing so, the Court imposed an unconstitutional barrier to access justice, holding the plaintiffs' First Amendment rights hostage unless they surrendered to the same surveillance state that had already violated

their rights and destroyed their families. The order issued by Judge Scarsi reads in part: "On the question of indigency, the Court finds that the party who filed the Request has not submitted enough information for the Court to tell if the filer is able to pay the filing fees. This is what is missing: Plaintiff did not answer any of the questions in the request form. As explained in the attached statement, the Request is DENIED because the District Court lacks subject matter jurisdiction. Because it is absolutely clear that the deficiencies in the complaint cannot be cured by amendment, this case is hereby DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE." By dismissing the case on these grounds, Judge Scarsi ignored the broader constitutional violations at stake—including unlawful surveillance, deprivation of due process, trafficking under color of law, and systemic First Amendment retaliation. The plaintiffs argue that this ruling amounts to judicial obstruction and aids and abets the ongoing trafficking enterprise they sought to expose. This case underscores a chilling reality: America's legal system, when weaponized by corrupt actors, becomes the very machinery through which crimes against humanity are committed. The plaintiffs in Moose et al. stood before the federal court not only asking for justice—they were begging for the return of their kidnapped babies. But instead of compassion or investigation, they were met with red tape, unlawful dismissal, and forced exposure to further exploitation. Thereby, WE *the* PEOPLE reject Judge Scarsi's null and void order. We affirm that no court may demand personal and financial disclosures as a prerequisite for constitutional redress—especially when that information may be weaponized by AI systems and government contractors to identify, profile, and traffic vulnerable families. In this light, Scarsi's order not only violates the First Amendment—it legitimizes the very trafficking pipeline the plaintiffs and Mangione sought to dismantle.

The dismissal of Moose et al. is a dire warning to the nation: until there is real accountability for judicial trafficking, and until heroes like Luigi Mangione are freed, the American people will not find peace nor justice. The Defendant's null order states, "ORDER ON REQUEST TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS (NON-PRISONER CASE) by Judge Mark C. Scarsi: On the question of indigency, the Court finds that the party who filed the Request has not submitted enough information for the Court to tell if the filer is able to pay the filing fees. This is what is missing: Plaintiff did not answer any of the questions in the request form. As explained in the attached statement, the Request is DENIED because The District Court lacks subject matter jurisdiction2 . As explained in the attached statement, because it is absolutely clear that the deficiencies in the complaint cannot be cured by amendment, this case is hereby DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE. MD JS-6, Case Terminated. (lc)"

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR
"They will wage war against the Lamb, but the Lamb will triumph over them because he is Lord of lords and King of kings—and with him will be his called, chosen, and faithful followers."
(Revelation 17:14)
https://linktr.ee/LuigitheGoat
#LuigitheGoat
Luigi the G.O.A.T., Pg. 10 of 10
"See that you do not despise one of these little ones. For I tell you that their angels in heaven always see the face of my Father in heaven."
Matthew 18:10

4. **Judge Rodney H. Holmes**

Case: Case No. 4:24-cv-01405, Eastern District of Missouri, Mertens v. Biden: https://www.pacermonitor.com/public/case/55559234/Mertens_et_al_v_Biden_et_al

Case Text: Defendant Holmes stands accused of deliberately prolonging legal proceedings against Defendant Joe Biden to benefit pedophiles and domestic offenders who have exploited the Family Court Criminal Enterprise (FCCE), a subsidiary of U.S.A. INC., to regain access to their victims. These individuals have weaponized the legal system by filing malicious and frivolous lawsuits aimed at confining their victims and securing full custody of the children they have abused. By obtaining full custody, these abusers have sought to alienate protective parents, ensuring their child victims remain isolated and vulnerable. Meanwhile, the so-called "Cash for Kids" judges—who have

facilitated these egregious human rights violations—continue to sit on the bench, using their judicial authority to traffic innocent children to known criminals despite clear records of violence and deviant behavior. Therefore, Defendant Holmes is liable if and when he unlawfully dismisses this case, as such an action would constitute a denial of access to the courts and obstruction of justice.

Furthermore, Defendant Rodney H. Holmes, acting under color of law as a United States Magistrate Judge for the Eastern District of Missouri, engaged in conduct that directly obstructs the public's right to access material evidence of a transnational child trafficking operation. On June 12, 2025, Judge Holmes ordered the emergency motion to compel the release of judicially sealed records related to Jeffrey Epstein be STRICKEN from the record, further ordering the clerk to remove the motion from the docket entirely.

"IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiffs' 'Emergency Motion to Compel the Release of Judicially Sealed Records Related to Jeffery Epstein,' (ECF No. 14), is STRICKEN. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of Court shall return the filing to Plaintiffs and remove it from the docket."

(Docket Entry 14, E.D. Mo., 6/12/2025).

This act was not a neutral procedural correction; it was a deliberate suppression of material evidence that is essential to uncovering the operation and institutional enablers of Epstein's criminal enterprise within the state of Missouri. Seemingly, this unlawful order was intended to obstruct justice and conceal the fact that the perpetrators within Epstein's Enterprise originated out of the state of Missouri, as made evident in the landmark case Charlene Latham vs Mark Epstein, 1953 Trust, Case No.1:20-cv-07102 (S.D.N.Y. Sept. 18, 2020). By removing the document entirely from the public docket,

Judge Holmes has weaponized procedural discretion to suppress access to documentation that implicates high-ranking officials, financiers, and federal judges in crimes involving minors. Further, Judge Holmes' warning—threatening monetary sanctions and filing restrictions for any future pleadings that contain "irrelevant, salacious, or abusive material"—is a chilling directive that operates as a retaliatory measure against survivors and whistleblowers seeking redress. This language attempts to sanitize the grotesque reality of child trafficking by mischaracterizing documented criminal evidence as "salacious," thereby continuing the systemic cover-up of a sprawling trafficking operation. Judge Holmes' order constitutes a pattern of judicial obstruction, aiding and abetting the concealment of human trafficking under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1591, 1519, and 1962(c)-(d). His actions fall squarely within the operation of what WE *the* PEOPLE identify as the "Cash for Kids" Enterprise, where court actors function not as impartial arbiters, but as gatekeepers protecting the financial and reputational interests of the trafficking network. Therefore, Defendant Holmes must be named individually and officially in this action for his role in suppressing the Epstein records and in furtherance of the RICO-conspiratorial enterprise actively profiting from and protecting child trafficking networks.


PacerMonitor
Sign In
Mertens et al v. Biden et al
Missouri Eastern District Court
Judge: Rodney H Holmes
Case #: 4:24-cv-01405
Nature of Suit: 470 Other Statutes - Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations
Cause: 18:1962 Racketeering (RICO) Act
Case Filed: Oct 20, 2024
Thursday, June 12, 2025
15
1 pgs
order
Order (will rule a motion and set/satisfy a schedule)
Thu 06/12 1:03 PM
ORDER: IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiffs' "Emergency Motion to Compel the Release of Judicially Sealed Records Related to Jeffery Epstein," (ECF No. 14), is STRICKEN. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of Court shall return the filing to Plaintiffs and remove it from the docket. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all future filings must be relevant to the issues properly before the Court. Any future filings containing irrelevant, salacious, or abusive material may result in sanctions, including monetary sanctions or restrictions on further filings. Signed by Magistrate Judge Rodney H. Holmes on 6/12/2025. (TMT)

5. **Judge Clifton L. Corker**

Case: *Brabson v. Biden et al.* (2:24-cv-00192, Eastern District of Tennessee)

https://www.pacermonitor.com/public/case/55542273/Brabson_v_Biden_et_al

Case Text: Defendant Corker is accused of dismissing a federal RICO suit that exposes child trafficking by Tennessee family court officials during the COVID era—without holding any evidentiary hearing. Plaintiffs contend that this dismissal served to shield evidence of widespread child removal and abuse carried out through fraudulent filings and corrupt "guardian" placements, implicating Corker as a participant in the federal

"Cash for Kids" judicial enterprise. Since Brabson's children were taken into unlawful state custody, one of her children has attempted suicide at the hands of the abusive guardian and in an apparent attempt to absolve themselves of liability, officials have since labeled one of her children a "runaway"—a designation WE *the* PEOPLE assert is being used to cover up the strategic trafficking of her child under color of law.

6. **Judge John M. Bodenhausen**

Case: *Marr v. Russell* (4:23-cv-01708, Eastern District of Missouri)

https://www.pacermonitor.com/public/case/51794643/Marr_v_Russell

Case Text: Defendant Bodenhausen stands accused of unlawfully terminating the Claimant's case, which challenged the unconstitutional policies enacted by Defendant Mary R. Russell. These policies were used to facilitate the trafficking of the Plaintiff's daughters. The claim alleges a conspiracy between family-court personnel and guardians to traffic her children. Bodenhausen's dismissal effectively ended judicial oversight, labeling him complicit in the "Cash for Kids" trafficking enterprise.